EXHIBIT A

Status Conference 1/20/2021

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY



OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL CORPORATION,

Civil Action No. 2:18-11273(MCA)(JAD)

Plaintiff,

v.

STATUS CONFERENCE

21ST CENTURY FOX AMERICA, INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----x

BEFORE:

THOMAS P. SCRIVO, ESQ. Special Discovery Master

O'Toole Scrivo Fernandez Weiner Van Lieu, LLC 14 Village Park Road Cedar Grove, New Jersey 07009

TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE as reported by NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR and Notary Public of the States of New Jersey and New York, conducted virtually via Zoom Videoconference on Wednesday, January 20, 2021, commencing at 1:00 p.m.

Occidental Chemical Corporation v. 21st Century Fox America

2.0

2.2

Status Conference 1/20/2021

Page 36

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 34

g

sort of six-month report this month. And so that is subject to their weighing in on the subject. But I think what we'll do now is we'll extend it to the June 1 date and we'll continue to push forward to try to meet that date as best we can.

MR. TALBERT: Understood. I don't know if this is the right time to raise this in light of the fact that we've just talked about the deadline, but as you saw in our letter, one of our concerns or issues that is relative to the deadline, as well as discovery in general, is the third-party joinder issue, and I understand that there is not a hard deadline right now, but we were hoping to get at least -- we're at the point where we would need some hopefully clear direction from the Court as to whether those claims do not have to be (indiscernible) such that we can hold off adding additional parties or whether those really should be filed.

And we're cognizant that the next phase here, coming into depositions, is going to be a time where we don't want to slow the case down or create prejudice for any parties. So would not be duplicative.

I will say this, though, that this is a topic that I will discuss with the District Judge, given the impact on the judge's calendar and caseload. So I think that this is something obviously that I will have a conversation with the District Judge and the Magistrate Judge about, likely before our next monthly conference. But at least I wanted to give you my inclination that the depositions, I don't think, necessarily should go forward without the third-party joinder issue having been dealt with.

MR. TALBERT: Thank you.
MR. KAIM: And, Mr. Scrivo, just to point out Oxy's position there, I understand that defendants have been saying they need -- at first they wanted to put off the joinder deadline, now they've raised the joinder deadline, and I may be misstating this, but my understanding was that they -- all the parties could have joined third parties at any point in time and just have not done so to date, pending some future deadline being put in place.

Oxy's concern is we need to start

Page 35

we're mindful of that and just wanted to raise that in light of the aspirational goals of moving discovery forward.

MR. SCRIVO: Yeah, I think obviously, as I sit here, do I think that discovery will end on June 1? No, I don't, particularly with the depositions that have to take place, which I think this is the topic, meaning depositions, and really the only issue that necessarily we are addressing relating to depositions today is the massive gap between the one hour that you somehow were unable to bridge prior to today's call, unless you're going to report on an agreement on that. But I am cognizant of the fact that I do not believe that we should allow depositions to proceed while the third-party joinder issue is extended.

So I do believe, and it's not going to be a decision today, but I want to at least let you know that I think we are -- now that we're getting into finalizing the deposition protocol, and once we begin depositions, I would envision that the third-party joinder stay, for lack of a better term, would be lifted such that depositions

Page 37

depos. We feel like the time has come. We have a targeted deposition strategy that we are ready to begin. We've negotiated at length a deposition protocol. Have agreed to every component that the defendants have wanted in that deposition protocol save the one issue that's before you today, so that we could get it put in place and begin depositions.

I would hope that we don't have a delay in depositions pending a decision on when the joinder deadline will be. I understand that it is obviously important to have any third parties that are (indiscernible) present for depositions but, again, my understanding has been the defendants have had the ability to join third parties; they've just decided not to do so and if they do so, as they've threatened that they do, then that is on them, would be Oxy's position.

MR. SCRIVO: I think you're right, Anthony, in that this was always characterized as a deadline, and if the deadline was imposed, I guess we would ultimately see whether that third-party joinder would occur or didn't occur, but I agree with you, I don't believe that it Occidental Chemical Corporation v. 21st Century Fox America

Status Conference 1/20/2021

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 38

was prohibited, the third-party practice would have been prohibited.

And listen, if a deadline is imposed, we'll see what occurs. But my job is to continue to move the case forward, and we understand we're getting into deposition phase. I just wanted to let you know that I don't think that that can occur until third parties are -- that everyone's in the case. Because we do not want to duplicate -- my goal here is not to duplicate work. You've seen that with the ESI and the other rulings here. So, I don't want to run that risk.

So, like I said, we'll address this with the District Judge and the Magistrate Judge and we'll probably have something to report on at the next conference.

MR. KAIM: Certainly don't want to duplicate work. OxyChem is eager to start depositions. That is what I think is important, in our view, at this point.

MR. TALBERT: We understand that and I think that's precisely why we want to raise the issue. We're aware that there are still several months likely of document

Page 40

leash. It's going to be something that is -because the defendants have had a lot of time to
consider, contemplate and, as Anthony correctly
points out, go ahead and file. They have not
been prohibited from filing the third-party
complaints.

I understand the practicalities of why the defendants have waited; I get it. But as we are now approaching the deposition phase, there is no -- let me just say this. I'm not telling you to wait. I'm telling you that there will be a deadline imposed, and it won't be six months out.

months out.

MR. TALBERT: Understood.

MR. KAIM: I'm sorry, Mr. Scrivo,
just with respect to the issue of documents.

Mr. Talbert raised putting off depositions
further to allow for the finalization of
document discovery. OxyChem, as Jack pointed
out, we're substantially complete with our
document production. And I don't see any reason
why defendants should delay their own
depositions based on the defendants not being
complete with their documents. We would like to
start deposing the defendants as soon as the

Page 39

production forthcoming, which we think is important to complete before starting depositions, and wanted to raise this now so that we do not get into this when we're about to start depositions and we haven't taken care of third-party joinder.

As you know, we've been sensitive to that because it would greatly increase the number of parties in the case if we do that, and so we have held out up until this point. My understanding right now, just so we're clear, is we will wait, pending further direction from the Court at the next conference before really filing the third-party joinder.

MR. SCRIVO: Well, there's no stay in place from filings, so if the defendants believe that third-party joinder should occur, then they should do it. I've always said we're just not going to impose a deadline. But a deadline will be imposed. And so that's going to come and, you know, I think that if you are not ready, necessarily, to pull the trigger, to engage in the third-party practice at this moment, I could tell you right now, when the deadline is imposed, it's not going to be a long

Page 41

Court allows us to and I, again, don't think that they should put that off based on their own document productions.

MR. SCRIVO: Well, I think I heard Jeff say ESI would be done by March and that there would be some privilege issues. So I think that the deposition timeline, once we agree on this major issue of one hour, will be set up in the near future. But I agree with you, Anthony, I think this is going to proceed in -- you know, fairly soon.

There's always going to be lingering document issues that occur in any case, particularly a case of this magnitude and size, so I think once we are -- and I understand the defendants are now reviewing the substantial ESI that has been provided by Oxy. I think once we have an understanding that this is not going to be a major, major fight and that there will be, obviously, some areas of disagreements, there will be meets and confer, and there might be things that are brought to me. But if we are to understand that the ESI is near substantial completion, then we are on the precipice of depositions and everyone should be guided